


# ☐ Identity, Consent, and the Fiction of the “Person” – David Icke

April 7, 2026



This article presents **what was said**, not speculation, not interpretation layered with emotion.

David Icke, as interviewer, and John Smith, as a common-law researcher, lay out a framework that challenges how modern systems define **identity, authority, and consent**. Their discussion resonates strongly with core principles:

- Be who you are – a man or a woman
- Act with honor and responsibility

- Do not seek conflict
  - Do not surrender yourself through ignorance
- 

## □ Living Man or Legal Person

John Smith makes a clear distinction:

- A **living man or woman** exists by nature
- A **legal person** exists by registration, documentation, and contract

According to this view, governments, courts, banks, and enforcement agencies do not interact with living souls – they interact only with **legal constructs** known as *persons*.

Passports, driver's licenses, bank cards, and court filings all reference this **legal fiction**, not the living being.

The confusion arises when people are taught – from birth – to believe both are the same.

---

## ⚖️ □ Common Law vs Statutory Systems

The discussion draws a sharp contrast:

### □ Common Law (Lawful)

- Applies to living men and women
- Based on simple principles:

- Cause no harm
- Cause no loss
- Cause no injury
- Act honorably in agreements

## □ Statutory / Corporate Law (Legal)

- Applies only to *persons*
- Functions through contracts, rules, and regulations
- Requires **consent** – often implied, not explicit

In this framing, statutes are not inherently binding on a living man or woman unless they are **accepted through participation**.

---

## □ Consent and the Power of Language

A central theme is **language as a tool of jurisdiction**.

Words used casually in everyday life carry **technical meanings** in legal systems:

- *Person*
- *Understand*
- *Resident*
- *Citizen*

In legal dictionaries, these terms do not mean what common English suggests.

The argument presented is that **implied consent** is often obtained through:

- Silence
- Compliance
- Identification
- Answering questions framed in legal terms

This is not about trickery for its own sake – it is about systems requiring agreement to function.

---

## □ **The Maritime & Commercial Framework**

Smith explains that modern statutory systems are rooted in **commercial and maritime traditions**:

- Courts operate like ports
- Defendants stand in a *dock*
- Birth is treated as a *delivery*
- Birth certificates resemble *manifests*
- Banks regulate *currency* like riverbanks regulate current

Whether one accepts this literally or symbolically, the pattern reveals how **commerce language dominates governance**.

---

## □ **Birth Registration & Identity**

# Creation

A key claim made is this:

When a child is registered at birth, a **legal entity** is created in the child's name.

Parents are not informed that:

- A legal fiction is being established
- This entity will be used for taxation, regulation, and enforcement
- The system will primarily interact with this construct, not the living individual

From this perspective, the issue is not birth itself – but **lack of full disclosure**.

---

## □ Slavery Reframed

The discussion references historical abolition and suggests a reframing:

*Slavery was not eliminated – it was **universalized** through legal identity.*

Instead of chains, modern systems rely on:

- Documents
- Contracts
- Debt
- Fear of authority

- Misidentification of self

Control persists not through force, but through **belief and participation**.

---

## □ Alignment with the American National Way

This perspective aligns with American National Way on key points:

- You are not a corporate utility
- No one has authority over you without consent
- Honor, dignity, and restraint matter
- There is no need to argue, fight, or rebel
- Awareness alone changes behavior

American National Way does **not** promote courtroom theatrics or confrontation.

It promotes:

*Standing correctly, acting honorably, and withdrawing energy from systems that rely on deception.*

---

## □□ A Calm Closing

This article is not legal advice.

It is not a promise of immunity.

It is not a shortcut.

It is an invitation to **see clearly**.

Systems only function when people believe in the roles assigned to them.

When a man or woman understands who they are – and who they are not – their conduct changes naturally.

No conflict required.

No anger needed.

Just clarity.